



Department of Planning & Zoning Staff Report – Map Amendment Case No. 2025-8-R

APPLICATION INFORMATION

- **Submittal Date:** 7/31/2025
- **Applicant / Property Owner**
Dana M. Davis
704 Kinler Street
Luling, LA 70070
- **Request**
Change of zoning:
 - Current - R-1A(M), Single Family Residential Detached Conventional Homes, Manufactured Homes, and Mobile Homes - Medium density
 - Proposed - R-2, Two Family Residential

SITE INFORMATION

- **Location:** Lot 46, Block E, Oak Ridge Park; 1017 Paul Frederick Drive, Luling
- **Size:** approximately 7,840 sq. ft.
- **Current Use:** none
- **Surrounding Zoning:** R-1A(M), R-2, CR-1
- **Surrounding Uses**
The site is in a developed residential area adjacent to the Paul Maillard Road corridor. Dwellings on Paul Frederick Drive consist of both manufactured and site-built single-family homes. A permit is currently under review for a duplex at 1028 Paul Frederick.
- **Zoning History:** the R-1A(M) district was established in 1981.
- **Future Land Use Recommendation**
Town Center: Mixed-use development concentrated around employment uses. Uses include retail, office, services, restaurants, and residential. The town centers are envisioned as walkable districts and are anticipated to range in scale and density. The highest density uses and activity would take place near high access major corridors.

Recommended Zoning Districts: R-2 (6,000 sf. min. lot size), R-3 (10,000 sf. min. lot size), CR-1 (6,000 sf. min. lot size), C-1 (6,000 sf. min. lot size), C-2 (6,000 sf. min. lot size)
- **Flood Zone & Minimum Building Elevation**
1992 Flood Insurance Rate Map: X Zone
2013 Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map: X
- **Traffic Access**
Lot 46 has 70 ft. of frontage and access on Paul Frederick Drive.
- **Utilities**
Per the Parish GIS, gravity sewer and water are available along Paul Frederick Drive.

Representatives from the Departments of Public Works, Wastewater, and Waterworks have no objections to the rezoning.

APPLICABLE REGULATIONS

Appendix A. Section VI. – Zoning District Criteria and Regulations

[VII.] R-2. Two-family residential:

1. Use Regulations:
 - a. A building or land shall be used only for the following purposes:
 - (1) See uses allowed in the R-1A district
 - (2) Two-family dwellings
 - (3) Single family dwellings
 - (4) Accessory uses.
 - (5) Nonresidential accessory buildings shall not be permitted.
 - b. Special exception uses and structures include the following:
 - (1) Club houses and/or accessory recreational facilities for resident use only
 - (2) Professional, non-retail offices
 - c. Special permit uses and structures include the following:
 - (1) Child care centers
 - (2) Schools (public, private, and commercial)
 - (3) Religious institutions
 - (4) *Reserved.*
 - (5) *Reserved.*
 - (6) Private commercial access roads, upon review by the Planning Commission and supporting resolution of the Council.
 - d. Transportation system required: Local or collector street.
2. Spatial Requirements:
 - a. Minimum lot size: Six thousand (6,000) square feet (3,000 per family); minimum width - sixty (60) feet.
 - b. Minimum yard sizes:
 - (1) Front - twenty (20) feet
 - (2) Side - five (5) feet each side
 - (3) Rear - twenty (20) feet.
 - (4) Whenever property abuts a major drainage canal as defined by the Subdivision regulations the required setback for all structures shall be ten (10) feet measured from the inner boundary of such servitude or right-of-way, notwithstanding any other more restrictive setbacks, this provision shall not apply to any lot of record created and existing prior to the effective date of Ordinance No. 99-12-8, December 15, 1999.
 - c. Accessory buildings:
 - 1) An accessory building may occupy no more than twenty-five (25) percent of the required rear yard.
 - 2) The accessory building shall not exceed two-story construction.
 - 3) Minimum rear setback of accessory building shall be the same as side yard requirement of the district in which it is located.
 - 4) Accessory buildings shall be located on the same parcel of land as the main structure.
 - d. Permitted encroachments:
 - 1) Overhangs projecting not more than twenty-four (24) inches, excluding gutter.
 - 2) Stairs and landings not more than three (3) feet in height, projecting no more than four (4) feet into required front or rear yard.
3. Transportation System Requirement: Front on local or collector street only.
4. Special Provisions:
 - a. Where any two-family residential district (R-2) abuts any residential zoning district or use, a six-foot high solid wood fence or masonry wall shall be erected.

Appendix A. Section XV. - Amendment procedure

- D. Rezoning guidelines and criteria: The proponent for a change should present reasonable factual proof that two or more of the following criteria are met:
1. The proposed rezoning conforms to land development pattern established by the St. Charles Parish Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map and does not create a spot zone that is incompatible with the surrounding neighborhood.
 2. The Land-use pattern or character has changed to the extent that the existing zoning no longer allows reasonable use of the applicant's property, and that the proposed zoning does. In order to determine what is reasonable use of the property, the proponent for the zoning change should consider one or more of the following:
 - a. Consideration of uses on adjacent properties that would limit the use of the site under consideration.
 - b. Consideration of unique or unusual physical or environmental limitations due to size, shape, topography or related hazards or deficiencies.
 - c. Consideration of changes in land value, physical environment or economic aspects which can be shown to limit the usefulness of vacant land or buildings.
 3. Potential uses permitted by the proposed rezoning will not be incompatible with existing neighborhood character nor will they overburden public facilities and infrastructure.
- The Planning Staff shall base rezoning analyses on these criteria but shall not be prohibited from factoring unique circumstances of the application into the analysis. The Planning and Zoning Commission may state in its recommendations to the Council: its concurrence with, or rejection of, any or all of the proponents' case for the suggested amendment, and/or its position relative to proponents' statements on the case. Planning Staff analyses and recommendations shall be forwarded to the Council along with the Commission's recommendations.
- E. Rezoning approval criteria: Before the Council votes to approve a rezoning, there should be reasonable factual proof by the applicant that:
1. The proposed map amendment is in compliance, or is not in conflict, with the goals and recommendations of the St. Charles Parish Comprehensive Plan and Future Land Use Map, also
 2. The proposed map amendment does not negatively impact the health, safety, and welfare of the community.

REZONING GUIDELINE & CRITERIA EVALUATION

1. *The proposed rezoning conforms to the land development pattern established by the St. Charles Parish Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map and does not create a spot zoning that is incompatible with the surrounding neighborhood.*

The subject site is in an area designated *Town Center*, promoting mixed-use development around employment uses. A range of zoning districts are recommended to accomplish this, including the requested R-2 along with R-3, CR-1, C-1, and C-2. This particular area is noteworthy with its focus around the St. Charles Parish Hospital and alignment with a portion of the Paul Maillard Revitalization Overlay District (PMROD). R-2 not only works toward the goals of the *Town Center* designation but it also lends itself to those of the PMROD.

This does not meet the Parish’s definition of a spot zone. The requested R-2 does not extend special privileges to this lot not extended to others in the area. Duplexes may be permitted within the adjacent PMROD, and R-2 districts were approved in 2024 across 7 lots on Paul Fredrick Drive, including directly across from Lot 46 (2024-2-R, Ord. 24-3-1; 2024-21-R, Ord. 25-2-4). And as detailed above, the request is made in furtherance of the Comprehensive Plan. **The request meets the first guideline.**

2. *The Land-use pattern or character has changed to the extent that the existing zoning no longer allows reasonable use of the applicant's property and the proposed zoning does.*

The existing R-1A(M) zoning still allows for reasonable use of the property. The district was established in 1981 and this block of Paul Frederick Drive has historically been developed with a mix of manufactured and site-built homes. Lot 46 can be similarly developed by right with either a site-built or manufactured home and based on the lot size can easily achieve required setbacks and parking. **The request does not meet the second guideline.**

3. *Potential uses permitted by the proposed rezoning will not be incompatible with existing neighborhood character nor will they overburden public facilities and infrastructure.*

Despite being an upzoning and permitting housing at a higher density, the R-2 district is more in-line with the development standards of the R-1A zoning district compared to the reduced standards of the existing R-1A(M). This includes:

- Site-built construction
- Lot area = 6,000 sq. ft. / 60 ft. wide (R-1A(M) = 5,000 sq. ft. / 50 ft. wide)
- Setbacks = 20 ft. front / 5 ft. sides / 20 ft. rear (R-1A(M) = 15 ft. front and 5 ft. rear setbacks)
- Required rear yard coverage = 25% (R-1A(M) = no requirement)

The development requirements of the R-2 zoning district, both in terms of construction type and lot size, permits development more in character with the site-built houses on Paul Frederick Street and not adversely impact neighborhood character.

The site is located in a developed area where Parish water, sanitary sewer, and drainage facilities are available and will not be overburdened by the one additional unit that could be permitted. **The request meets the third guideline.**

DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION

Approval, based on meeting the first and third rezoning criteria.

This request will be forwarded to the Parish Council for a second public hearing with the Planning Commission’s recommendation.