

## Valarie Berthelot

---

**From:** Michael Albert  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 29, 2017 9:47 AM  
**To:** Valarie Berthelot; Clayton Fauchoux; Billy Raymond  
**Cc:** Tiffany K. Clark; Nicole Breau; Sandra Miguez; Wendy Watkins; Marny Stein; Angela Gaubert  
**Subject:** RE: please review

Val,

In my opinion, these ordinances do not need to go back to the Planning Commission.

My review of 2017-0155 and its revision has no comments.

However...

My review of 2017-0197 has the following comment:

The Departments of Public Works, Wastewater, and Waterworks have all stressed the importance of being able to perform in-house inspections on subdivision infrastructure construction. The current ordinance does not grant them that direct authority. I propose the following amendment to resolve this issue.

Revise II.F.5.a to read as follows:

- a) The inspection shall be conducted by a licensed professional engineer (PE) registered with the State of Louisiana, either employed by the Parish or contracted through the Parish procurement process and/or by individuals performing inspections under the PE's direct supervision and instructions.

If Snookie concurs with my proposed change, please include my comments for the Council's consideration of the ordinance at hand.

*Michael J. Albert, AICP*  
*Director of Planning and Zoning*



Department of Planning & Zoning  
P (985) 783-5060 / F (985) 783-6447  
[malbert@stcharlesgov.net](mailto:malbert@stcharlesgov.net)  
[www.stcharlesgov.net](http://www.stcharlesgov.net)

---

**From:** Valarie Berthelot  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 28, 2017 1:58 PM  
**To:** Michael Albert  
**Cc:** Tiffany K. Clark; Nicole Breau; Sandra Miguez; Wendy Watkins; Marny Stein; Angela Gaubert  
**Subject:** please review

Michael,

The attached proposed ordinances (2017-0155 and 2017-0197) were postponed indefinitely by the Council on June 19<sup>th</sup>; both were reviewed by the Commission on June 1<sup>st</sup>.

Also attached is an AG opinion in regards to the Council postponing proposed ordinances that were previously reviewed by the Commission, whether or not they would need to be reviewed by the Commission again if brought back to the Council.

Councilman Hogan would like to introduce both proposed ordinances on July 10<sup>th</sup>; however, both of them have been revised after being reviewed by the Commission and postponed by the Council. Please see attached email between Kim Marousek and myself when this same situation had occurred previously.

Please review the two revised proposed ordinances and advise whether or not they should be reviewed by the Commission again in your opinion.

Thanks,  
Val